



**2014-2015 Faculty Senate
Executive Committee**

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING

Minutes of the Meeting of April 21, 2015

3:00 – 5:00 pm, 275 Sacramento Hall

Approved: April 28, 2015

Call to Order: The Chair called the meeting to order at 3:05 pm.

Roll: Ryan Allain, Lorelei Bayne, Sylvester Bowie, De-Laine Cyrenne, Ben Fell, Charles Gossett, Fraka Harmsen (absent), Julian Heather, Sue Holl, John Ingram, Dana Kivel (absent), Tom Krabacher, Todd Migliaccio, Christine Miller, Reza Peigahi, Deidre Sessoms (absent)

Guests: Academic Affairs: Jim Prince, Vice Provost
HHS: Tony Sheppard, RTPA
EDU: Jana Noel
CODE: Phillip Akutsu, Committee member

Open Forum:

- Holl thanked Prince for resolving the room issue.
- Miller UBAC: Miller raised questions about (and discussed) the collective bargaining agreement stipulation that new Faculty will receive assigned time (three units per semester) for two years.
- ECS Academic Council: A discussion about how faculty are selected for committees like the GECSRS, AITC, and others was held. Questions centered on the authority of the college council (or similar body) v. the Dean.
- Peace Corps Internship: 2015-2016 Campus Ambassadors Position, deadline April 30

Agenda: The agenda was approved as published.

Minutes: The Executive Committee approved the meeting minutes of April 14, 2015 as published.

From the Chair:

- **Memorandum regarding FS 14/15-140:** Peigahi reported that the memorandum was forwarded to President Gonzalez.
- **Senate Meeting – April 23:** Peigahi will be away from campus presenting at WASC. The Senate meeting will be Chaired by Vice Chair Bowie.
- **Assigned time for extra effort:** Questions were raised about the urgency of this item. FPC will discuss and recommend next steps.

From Provost:

- **Searches:** Prince reported that seven faculty hires have been completed and the AVP for International Programs is on-going.
- **Strategic Use of Data by Chancellors Office:** The Senate will be updated on this item in the fall.

UARTP 4.08 E. Amendment: Concerns were raised over the language of the amendment. Specifically what materials are to be placed in the PAF (the “permanent record” of the faculty member, which they generally do not have access to) and the WPAF (the working copy which the faculty member uses for RTP purposes). The current curriculum vitae should be in the WPAF, while items like the letter of appointment should be in the PAF. The Chair will request clarification from UARTP and bring the item back to the Exec.

APC: Grade Appeal Process: Migliaccio stated that APC reviewed the rewritten policy and has recommended one amendment. Exec requested the Chair follow-up with the Work Group for clarification on the items below. The Workgroup will also be invited to speak to their work at the Senate meeting when the item is at First Reading.

- What is the definition of “grossly disproportionate” as seen in 1a.?
- In the section *Procedures to Govern Panel Hearings – Written submissions to University Grade Appeal Panels – Student’s Submissions* the student is required to submit five (5) copies of their submission. There was a question of how that number was arrived at and why electronic submission is not an option. There was a concern that such a number may place a financial burden on students.
- In the section *The Appeals Process* there was a concern, as seen above, regarding the number of copies which are required to be submitted to the Grade Appeals Manager – nine (9) copies.
- In the section *What Can Be Appealed* a question was asked if the numbering could be 1a, 1b, 1c, and 2.
- In the section *What Can Be Appealed* is it possible to change 1a. as follows:
 - From: The grade assigned is a grossly disproportionate sanction for cheating, including plagiarism and is therefore arbitrary.
 - To: The grade assigned is a grossly disproportionate sanction for ~~cheating~~ Academic Dishonesty, including plagiarism and is therefore arbitrary.

Adjournment:

The meeting was adjourned at 4:45 pm