ACADEMIC SENATE

O F

CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY

SACRAMENTO

Minutes

Issue #7

Wednesday, February 19, 1986

ROLL CALL

Present: Alexander, Anderson, Bess, Christian, Deaner, Endres, Farrand, Figler, Gelus, Gerber, Good, Gregorich, Holl, Jensen, E. Kelly, Kimenyi, Koester, Madden, McGillivray, Munson, Nelson, Price, Radimsky, Shattuck, Snow, Ster, Sullivan, Taniguchi, Torcom, Walther, Wheeler, Winters

Absent: Addicott, Aichele, Beckwith, Chmaj, Harriman, James Hernandez, Juan Hernandez, Hitchcock, Kostyrko, Maxwell, Pettay, Pucci, Stroumpos, Swanson, Wade, Wilson

INFORMATION

1. A moment of silence was observed in memory of:

Gary Hawkins, Lecturer, Communication Studies

Norman Hunt, Professor of Music Emeritus (1983)

Jamil Nammour, Professor of Philosophy

Albert M. Swanson, Professor of Psychology Emeritus (1981)

- Vice President Robert Jones reported on the status of the Annual Fund, formation of the Trust Foundation and activities of the Alumni Affairs Office.
- 3. Vice President Robert Bess reported on the 1986-87 budget.
- Senator Peter Shattuck reported on the January 9-10, 1986, CSU Academic Senate meeting.

CONSENT - INFORMATION

*AS 85-78/FA, Ex. MPPP TIMELINES, 1985-86

[At its meeting of December 18, the Executive Committee passed the following resolution on behalf of the Academic Senate.] The Executive Committee, Academic Senate, approves the MPPP timelines for 1985-86 as recommended by the Faculty Affairs Committee:

Timelines for 1985-86 MPPP Cycle

	
February 3, 1986	Announcement of MPPP Awards Program
	School Committees Elected and Selection Processes Established
February 28, 1986	Nominations of Persons for MPPP Award Submitted to Department Chairs or Other Appropriate Administrators
March 14, 1986	Deadline for Submittal of Applications to Department Chairs or Other Appropriate Administrators
March 17, 1986	Department Chair or Other Appropriate Administrators Forward Applications, Nominations and Supporting Documents to Appropriate School Committees
April 11, 1986	Committee Recommendations Submitted to School Deans and Other Appropriate Administrators
April 25, 1986	School Deans and Other Appropriate Administrators Complete Their Reviews and Evaluations
	Awards Receiving Approval from BOTH Committees and Deans are

Implemented

Applications With Disagreements Between Dean and Committee are Forwarded to the President [continued] Academic Senate Minutes

- 3 -

February 19, 1986

April 28, 1986

President Receives Applications

Where Disagreement Occurred

April 30, 1986

University-wide Committee Receives Applications Where Disagreement Occurred and

Deliberates

May 13, 1986

President Receives Recommendations from

University-wide Committee and

Reviews Them

May 20, 1986

President Completes Review and Makes Call for Alternate Applications as Appropriate

1/23/86 Approved by the President.

ACTION ITEMS

AS 86-01/Flr. MINUTES

The Minutes of the regular meeting of December 11, 1985, are approved.

Carried.

AS 86-02/Ex. COMMITTEE APPOINTMENTS

Administrative Fellows Review Committee: ANDRES RENDON,

Meritorious Performance and Professional Promise Committee:

PATRICK MCGILLIVRAY, 1986 ROBERT SCHINSKE, 1986 WILLIAM SCHUSTER, 1986

Search Committee, Vice President for Academic Affairs: Marjorie Lee, Affirmative Action Committee Representative

Undergraduate Education Study Commission: Jennifer Ware, Library

Carried unanimously.

*AS 86-03/CC, GPPC, FisA, Ex. PROGRAM CHANGES

The Academic Senate, CSUS, recommends approval of the program changes:

- a) Criminal Justice Program Change in Electives: addition of five Ethnic Studies courses to the list of electives; addition of Chemistry 124 to the list of required upper division courses in Forensic Science.
- b) School of Business and Public Administration: the addition of History 164 as an option in the SBPA Major without Concentration.
- c) Engineering and Computer Science General Education Waiver: require Engineering majors to fulfill the 3-unit Life Forms requirement in General Education; remove Engineering 140 from General Education category Foundations in Social Sciences.
- d) Child Development: 1984-86 catalog states that the Child Development major consists of 48 units with 14 units under Area Requirements. However, only 11 units have actually been required under Area Requirements for a total of 45 units. In the revised program, the Area Requirements are increased to 12 units for a total of 46 units in the major.
- e) Geography Pre-planning Certificate: shifts in courses within the Geography Department.
- f) SBPA Pre-major: designation of a pre-major without addition of requirements.

Carried unanimously.

AS 86-04/AP, Ex. LIBRARY RESOURCES, FUNDING FORMULAS FOR

WHEREAS, developing information technology has resulted in widespread growth of electronic information data bases, and

WHEREAS, much of this information is not readily available in traditional formats, and

WHEREAS, free and ready access to the world of information is essential to scholarship and learning in higher education, and

WHEREAS, costs of access to on-line computerized data bases are not presently recognized in California State University library funding formulas, therefore be it,

RESOLVED, that the California State University is urged to seek recognition of funding formulas for library resources to provide access to on-line informational data bases to California State University students and faculty; and, be it further

RESOLVED, that the CSUS Academic Senate urge the President and the CSU Academic Senate to pursue this matter in their appropriate arenas.

Carried unanimously.

AS 86-05/FA, Ex. MPPP PROCEDURES REVIEW, 1985

The Academic Senate receives the report of the Faculty Affairs Committee on the review of the 1985 MPPP award process (attached).

Carried unanimously.

*AS 86-06/AP, Ex. ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT CERTIFICATES, POSTING OF

The Academic Senate recommends that academic achievement certificates be posted to students' permanent records.

Carried unanimously.

*AS 86-07/Ex. COMPUTER POLICY COMMITTEE

The Academic Senate recommends the establishment of a broadly-based ad hoc committee consisting of representatives of each of the Schools, the Library, and the Computer Center. This membership shall include three members from Arts and Sciences, one from each of the other Schools, one from the Library, and one from the Computer Center. This Committee will make recommendations to the appropriate administrative offices or other committees concerning computer acquisition, allocation, and usage. Additionally, it shall be the charge of the committee to make recommendations concerning computer usage and needs in curriculum development and faculty development.

Carried.

AS 86-08/Ex. EXECUTIVE SESSIONS OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE, POLICY ON [In response to AS 85-77.]

- Executive sessions may be called regarding personnel matters relating to the Academic Senate staff.
- 2. In urgent circumstances, the Executive Committee may be constituted as, or become part of, a search committee for the purposes of filling, on an interim basis, a vacancy in the administration.
- 3. In urgent circumstances the President may wish to consult in executive session with the Executive Committee on personnel matters related to the central administrative staff.
- 4. The results of any executive session of the Executive Committee will be reported in the minutes.

Carried.

*AS 86-09/Ex., Flr. FACULTY MANUAL - STATUS OF DIVISIONS

The provisions of the Faculty Manual concerning the appointment of division chairs should be amended as follows to reflect the actual status of the chairs of Criminal Justice, Nursing, and Social Work.

- Amend Faculty Manual Section 3.12.03:
 - 3.12.03 Faculty Consultation on the Appointment of Academic Deans and Bivision Chairs
- 2. Add section:

3.14.05 Division Chairs

The provisions of Section 3.14, "Role and Responsibility of Department Chairs," shall also apply to Division Chairs.

It was <u>moved</u> (Radimsky) and seconded (Taniguchi) to table. The motion was defeated.

Carried.

AS 86-10/Ex. HISPANIC UNDERREPRESENTATION, REPORT OF THE AD HOC COMMITTEE ON

The Academic Senate approves Recommendation #3 of the report of the ad hoc Committee on Hispanic Underrepresentation:

3. That the Academic Senate support the Committee in the presentation of a symposium that will inform the campus community on a) the rapidly changing demographics of the State, b) a review of the Final Report, and c) an update of the Committee's activities.

Carried.

AS 86-11/FA, Ex., Flr. COPYRIGHT POLICY [See AS 86-28/FA, Ex.

It was <u>moved</u> (E. Kelly) and seconded (McGillivray) to amend the final sentence of the first paragraph by adding: ...committees and <u>final approval</u> by the Academic Senate before...

Carried.

It was <u>moved</u> (McGillivray) and seconded (Gelus) to refer the recommendation back to the Faculty Affairs Committee.

Carried.

*AS 86-12/Ex. MERITORIOUS PERFORMANCE AND PROFESSIONAL PROMISE AWARDS GUIDELINES [Amendment of AS 85-13]

II. ELIGIBILITY

All persons covered by the Memorandum of Understanding for Unit Three are eligible to apply or be nominated either for a meritorious performance incentive award or for a professional promise incentive award. No individual may receive more than one type of incentive award in any given year. (A minimum of three years must elapse, however, before a recipient of an award may apply or be nominated for either award.) When a faculty member has received a Meritorious Performance and Professional Promise Award, any subsequent Meritorious Performance and Professional Promise Award to that faculty member must be based on considerations other than those on which an earlier Meritorious Performance and Professional Promise Award was based.

Carried unanimously.

¹The three (3) year restriciton under paragraph II (Eligibility) applies only to MPPP award recipients and not to EMSA recipients of 1983-84.

Academic Senate Minutes

- 8 -

February 19, 1986

The meeting was adjourned at 4:00 p.m.

Janue L. Mc Pherson
Ganice McPherson, Secretary

JM Attachment *President's response requested.

Attachment (AS 86-05) Academic Senate Minutes February 19, 1986

Meritorious Performance and Professional Promise (MPPP) Awards Review of CSUS 1985 Award Process

TO: Academic Senate Executive Committee

FROM: Faculty Affairs Committee

6.

We found no evidence of potential procedural inequities in our review of the 1985 award process. Three minor exceptions to this are noted in section C below.

- A. We conducted our review over the past two months and divided it into three areas:
 - faculty complaints, observations or opinions;
 - school procedures used to elect MPPP selection committees;
 - 3. committee procedures and criteria used to select award winners.
- B. We solicited responses from the faculty at large through the <u>Bulletin</u>, from the schools directly and from the MPPP committees directly. The results were that:
 - no faculty responded with concerns about irregularities in the selection process;
 - 2. all the units, except the Library, reported procedures to elect specific committees for the MPPP awards;
 - 3. all the MPPP committees reported criteria and procedures to select award winners consistent with the published MPPP guidelines.
- C. The exceptions we noted are:
 - 1. the MPPP committees of the Schools of Education and of Health and Human Services should be reminded to provide for "professional promise" either as a separate category or within their general selection criteria;
 - 2. the Library should elect a separate MPPP committee and should be encouraged to nominate or solicit applicants for the awards.
- D. The FAC review was based, in part, on the feeling that the school MPPP committees should be allowed the greatest latitude in procedure consistent with the right of each faculty member to equal treatment.

Frank Garosi, Chair Faculty Affairs Committee 12/17/85

 $\phi_{ij} = \phi_{ij} + \phi$

ing the second of the second o