

SPECIAL MEETING
1988-89
ACADEMIC SENATE
California State University, Sacramento

AGENDA

Thursday, February 2, 1989
2:30 p.m.

ANTHROPOLOGY 308

Jan
NOTE
ROOM
CHANGE

REGULAR AGENDA

First Reading: AS 89-02/Ex. CONSTITUTION OF THE FACULTY--
Amendments

The Academic Senate approves the amendments to the Constitution of the Faculty (Attachment A to the January 26, 1989 agenda) for submission to the voting membership of the faculty in a referendum.

NOTE: At the January 26, 1989 meeting, a procedural motion to divide AS 89-02 into seven separate motions dealing with independent groups of amendments was approved. A copy of the separate motions was distributed at the meeting. Senators who did not attend the meeting may obtain a copy of the list of separate motions from the Senate Office, Adm. 264, prior to the February 2 meeting or wait to obtain their copy at the meeting. Of the seven separate motions, five were given a first reading and amended at the January 26 meeting and will not be subject to discussion at the February 2 meeting. They will, however, be subject to discussion (second reading) and action at the February 23 meeting. The motions to receive a first reading at the February 2, 1989 meeting are provided below:

AS 89-02E

To approve substantive amendments to Article II, section 5 A-E on membership of the Academic Senate, and

AS 89-02F

To approve substantive amendments to Article III on the Judiciary.

First Reading: AS 89-0³/Ex. BY-LAWS--Revisions (refer to Attachment B and B1 of the January 26 agenda)

The Academic Senate adopts the revised By-Laws of the Academic Senate (Attachment B to the January 26 agenda) subject to faculty approval of the amendments to the Constitution proposed in AS 89-02.

PROPOSED PROCEDURAL MOTION TO DIVIDE AS 89-02/Ex.
(Constitution)

1

To divide the question of approval of amendments to the CONSTITUTION OF THE FACULTY for Academic Senate action and submission to the eligible voting faculty in a referendum as follows:

A. To approve editorial revisions in the organization and text of the document as shown in the proposed revised document. OK

B. To approve substantive amendments to Article I, section 2 on Membership of the faculty as shown in the proposed revised document and summarized below.

1. Expand Student Service Professional classes included in the membership of the faculty. OK

2. Restrict membership of academic administrators to those holding appointments in academic departments or the library. OK

C. To approve substantive amendments to Article I, section 4. A. on Initiative as shown in the proposed revised document and summarized below.

1. Increase the number of days between receipt of an initiative petition and the election from 10 days to 15 days. OK (See change on p.3) + p.4

D. To approve substantive amendments to Article I., section 6 on Qualifications to Vote as shown in the proposed revised document and summarized below.

1. Allow academic administrators to vote in elections other than elections held to select representatives of the faculty. OK

2. Allow all Student Service Professionals included in the membership of the faculty to vote for representatives of their electing unit, but restrict voting eligibility of Student Service Professionals in all other elections to Student Service Professionals classified as "Academically Related" OK

3. Allow faculty on paid leave to vote. OK

4. Allow FERP faculty to vote in elections whether or not they are teaching during the semester in which the election is held. OK

- OK*
- D. To approve substantive amendments to Article II, section 4. on Responsibilities of the Academic Senate to include the statement adapted from the statement titled, Responsibilities of Academic Senates in a Collective Bargaining Context (AS 1217-81 adopted by the Statewide Academic Senate, endorsed by the CSUS Academic Senate in AS 82-65) as shown in the proposed revised document.
- E. To approve substantive amendments to Article II, section 5 A-E on Membership of the Academic Senate as shown in the proposed revised document and summarized below.
1. Remove the limit (of 42) on the total number of electing unit representatives on the Senate. *OK*
 2. Remove the limit on the number of temporary faculty representatives that may be from the same school (Article II, section 5. A.).
 3. Allow all temporary faculty (not only those with 6+ units) to vote for representatives of the temporary faculty (Article II, section 5. A.).
 4. Add the Chairs of selected standing Senate Committees (to be determined) as ex-officio non-voting members of the Senate (Article II, section 5. A.).
 5. Add the statewide senators as ex-officio non-voting members of the Senate (Article II, section 5. A.).
 6. Make necessary editorial changes in the titles of administrative members of the Senate (Article II, section 5. A.).
 7. Remove the restriction that staff members of the Senate must be from an academic area and provide that staff be chosen by the University Staff Assembly, rather than by the voting membership of the Senate (Article II, section 5. A.).
 8. Rescind the "2% rule" for determining whether or not a department is entitled to its own representative (Article II, section 5. B. 1.).

9. Provide that academic departments with 10 or more positions (as defined) shall have at least one representative and that those with fewer than 10 may choose to have their own representative or join with another academic department to form a combined electing unit (Article II, section 5. B. 1.)
 10. Eliminate "special electing units" since these units are provided for in Article II, section 5. B. 1. (Article II., section 5. B. 3.)
 11. Provide that electing units with more than 24 positions (as defined) shall have a second representative (Article II, section 5. C.).
- F. To approve the substantive amendments to Article III on the Judiciary as shown in the proposed revised document and summarized below.
1. Extend the purview of the Judiciary to include the power to decide disputes relating to the interpretation of the By-Laws of the Academic Senate (Article III, section 1.).
 2. Provide that faculty, independent of a department, electing unit or subunit, be allowed to petition (as provided) the Judiciary for a decision.
 3. Restrict membership on the Judiciary to probationary and tenured instructional faculty and library faculty (Article III, section 3. a.).

PROPOSED PROCEDURAL MOTION TO DIVIDE AS 89-03/Ex.
(By-Laws)

To divide the question of Senate approval of revisions of the By-Laws of the Academic Senate as follows:

A. To approve editorial revisions in the organization and text of the By-Laws as shown in the proposed revised document (attachment B).

B. To approve the revisions of the By-Laws provision on nomination, election, and succession to the office of representative of the temporary faculty (original Article IV) as shown in Article I, section B. of the proposed revised document, subject to faculty approval of amendments to Article V, Section 5.A. of The Constitution of the Faculty.

C. To revise the By-Laws to increase the per cent required for a roll call vote in the Academic Senate from 10% to 20% (shown in Article II, section B.5. of the proposed revised document).

D. To revise the By-Laws to limit the number of consecutive terms an individual may serve as chair to three consecutive terms (shown in Article III, section A.1. of the proposed revised document).

E. To revise the By-Laws to limit the number of consecutive terms an individual may serve as vice chair to three consecutive terms (shown in Article III, section A.2. of the proposed revised document).

F. To revise the By-Laws provision on Membership of the Executive Committee (original article I, section D.1.) as shown in Article IV, section A.1. of the proposed revised document and summarized below:

1. increase the number of other voting members elected by the Academic Senate from 4 to 5.
2. change the voting status of the immediate past chair from voting to non-voting.
3. allow the delegation of statewide senators to select the statewide senator member of the Executive Committee.
4. allow the President of the student body to designate a representative if he/she is unable to serve.

G. To revise the By-Laws to include the other voting members of the Executive Committee elected by the Academic Senate as officers of the Academic Senate as shown in Article III, section A.3. of the proposed revised document.

(OVER)

H. To revise the By-Laws provision on procedures for the election of Chair, Vice chair, and Executive Committee (original Article I, section G and H) as shown in Article III, section B. of the proposed revised document, eliminating the requirement to conduct a primary election at the first meeting if the number of candidates for the other voting member seats exceeds twice the number of seats.

I. To revise the By-Laws provision on Recording Secretary (original article I. Section B.) to specify that the position shall be held by the senior ranked staff member of the Academic Senate office (shown in Article III, section D. of the proposed revised document).

J. To revise the By-Laws provision on Committees of the Academic Senate (original article I, section C.), incorporating original article I.C.1. as the first paragraph of article IV of the proposed revised document, modifying original article I.C.2. as shown in Article IV, section C. of the proposed revised document, and deleting original article I.C.3.

K. To revise the By-Laws provision on functions of the Executive Committee (original Article I.D.2.), as shown in Article IV, section A.2. of the proposed revised document, to codify current practice.

L M. To revise the By-Laws to include AS 86-08 on executive sessions of the Executive Committee, amended as shown in Article IV, section A. 3.b. of the proposed revised document.

M N. To revise the By-Laws provision on the Committee on Committees (original Article I.E.) to provide for revised membership as described in Article IV, section B.1. of the proposed revised document.

? M N O. To revise the By-Laws, subject to approval of the revised membership of the Committee on Committees proposed in AS 89-03 ~~LM~~ (above), to provide for election of school representatives as described in Article I, section B.2. of the proposed revised document.

O P. To revise the By-Laws to require that the Committee on Committees hold at least two meetings as described in Article IV, section B.3. of the proposed revised document.

P Q. To revise the By-Laws provision on terms of office of statewide academic senators (original article V, section B.2.) as shown in Article V. B. 2 of the proposed revised document to (1) delete the definition of senior statewide senator, and (2) limit the number of consecutive years a person may serve as a statewide senator to nine consecutive years.