

**2012-2013 FACULTY SENATE
California State University, Sacramento**

**Thursday, September 20, 2012
3:00 – 5:00 pm, Foothill Suite, Union**

MOMENT OF SILENCE

CSUS Retiree Association – In Memory: http://www.csus.edu/org/retirees/in_memory/index.html

OPEN FORUM

Consistent with FS 08-43/EX (October 2008) The open forum is a time when any member of the campus community can address the Senate on any issue not included in the Senate agenda for that meeting. Persons wishing to utilize the open forum are encouraged to notify the senate chair of such intent at least 24 hours prior to the senate meeting, indicating the topic to be addressed. Presentations at the open forum shall be limited to no more than 3 minutes. Issues raised during the open forum may be placed on the agenda as first reading items at the time the agenda is approved.

APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA

CONSENT ACTION

The items below are actions recommended for approval by the Faculty Senate Executive Committee. A Representative may request the removal of any item and automatic placement on the regular agenda.

FS 12/13-24/EX COMMITTEE APPOINTMENT – UNIVERSITY
ATHLETICS ADVISORY COMMITTEE

The Faculty Senate recommends the following faculty member for appointment for the 2012-2015 AY:

1. Maureen Smith, Kinesiology and Health Sciences

FS 12/13-25/EX COMMITTEE APPOINTMENT – UNIVERSITY
UEI BOARD OF DIRECTORS

A nomination is expected to be added following the September 18th Executive Committee meeting.

REGULAR AGENDA

FS 12/13-23/EX MINUTES – SEPTEMBER 6, 2012 [FS 12/13-23](#)

2012-2013 Executive Committee Members

Faculty Senate Chair: Janet Hecsh, Teacher Education, EDUC

Vice Chair: Tim Marbach, Mechanical Engineering, ECS

Academic Policies Committee Chair: Todd Migliaccio, Sociology, SSIS

Curriculum Policies Committee Chair: Stephanie Biagetti, Teacher Education, EDUC

Faculty Policies Committee Chair: Adam Rechs, Biological Sciences, NSM

Graduate Education/Graduation Requirement Committee Chair: Reza Peigahi, Library

Graduate Studies Policies Committee Chair: Tracy Hamilton, Mathematics & Statistics, NSM

At-large Member: Adriana Echandia, Bilingual/Multicultural Education, EDUC

At-large Member: Tony Sheppard, Recreation, Parks & Tourism Administration, HHS

At-large Member: Elizabeth Strasser, Anthropology, SSIS

At-large Member: Vacant

Parliamentarian: Tom Krabacher, Geography, NSM

Statewide Senator: Bob Buckley, Computer Science, ECS

ASI Representative: Monica Cortez, ASI President, Associated Students, Inc.

INFORMATION ITEMS

1. Senate Orientation Session: Thursday, September 27, drop-in from 3:00 – 5:00 pm, Foothill Suite, University Union
2. Vince Sales, Vice President, University Advancement
3. Carlos Nevarez, Director, Doctorate in Educational Leadership, College of Education
4. Reza Peigahi, Chair, General Education/Graduation Requirements Policies Committee
5. Upper Division General Education and Completion, Board of Trustees Agenda Item - Update

SECOND READING (OLD BUSINESS)

FS 12/13-20/EX

PROPOSITION 30 ON THE NOVEMBER 2012 BALLOT ENDORSEMENT
OF AS-3090-12/EX

The Faculty Senate endorses the California State University Academic Senate Resolution AS-3090-12/EX Support of Proposition 30 on the November 2012 Ballot: Temporary Taxes to Fund Education – Guaranteed Local Public Safety Funding. [FS 12/13-20a](#)

Proposition 30 Endorsements: <http://www.yesonprop30.com/index.php/endorsements>

FIRST READING (NEW BUSINESS)

FS 12/13-21/EX

BYLAWS – PROPOSED AMENDMENT OF ARTICLE I, B.4 REGARDING REPRESENTATIVE ELIGIBILITY FOR RE-ELECTION

The Faculty Senate approves the amendment of the Bylaws Article 1, B.4 by **inserting either A <OR> B** below in the space immediately following the quotation of Article I, Section 6 of the Faculty Constitution.

- A. A representative who has served for no fewer than five consecutive years shall be deemed to have served for six consecutive years for the purpose of determining his or her continuing eligibility for immediate re-election to the office of representative.
- B. A representative who has served for no fewer than five consecutive years shall remain eligible to be re-elected to the office of representative and to serve in that office until he or she has served continuously for as many as but no more than six connective years.

Bylaws, Article I, B.4: “Each electing unit shall invite nominations to the office of representative from its own members. Each member of an electing unit who is qualified to vote for representatives as defined in Article I, Section 6, of the Constitution shall be eligible to nominate and to be nominated to the office of representative (or alternate) from his/her electing unit except as provided in the Constitution. *[Article II, Section 6.B: Representatives who have served for six (6) consecutive years shall not be eligible for re-election until at least one (1) academic year has elapsed between the conclusion of the sixth consecutive year of service and the beginning of a new term.]* **INSERT A OR B ABOVE** Service as an alternate, regardless of the number of years served as such, shall not preclude an individual from being elected as unit representative immediately following the end of his/her service as an alternate.”

FS 12/13-27/EX

PROGRAM IMPACTION POLICY, REVISION OF FS 11/12-03/EX, (March 23, 2012) GENERAL POLICIES FOR:

[FS 12/13-27a](#)

General Policies for Impaction

1. Request for impaction will be treated for review purposes as a substantive program change.
2. Impaction requests are normally initiated at the department level.
3. The programmatic and resource review should address the effect of impaction as it relates to demand on the program, resource capacity, effects on other programs, additional admission criteria and maintaining diversity.
4. Impaction requests and yearly reviews follow the established campus approval process, which includes faculty review at the department and college levels, ~~academic senate~~ **faculty** review, as well as administrative review and approval.
- 5. Subsequent to campus approval the** CSU system approval is also required.
- 56.** At the campus level, each request for program impaction shall be accompanied by a completed Program Impaction Request Form, approved by the Dean of the College.
- 67.** Program impaction designation is granted for one year. Continuing program impaction status requires yearly campus review and approval, and CSU system approval.
- 78.** Full reapplication for program impaction status, at the campus level, is required after any 4 year impaction period. Such applications must be submitted according to campus deadlines for status to be awarded into the fifth year for another four year cycle.

The Faculty Senate recommends that a moratorium of at least one year be placed on submission to the Chancellor's Office of any new proposals for program impaction, including preliminary proposal submitted to the Chancellor's Office for tentative approval; and

The Faculty Senate further recommends that this moratorium remain in effect until such time as:

1. A schedule has been developed, which allows for:
 - a. timely and careful review by the Faculty Senate of requests for program impaction in accordance with the campus's Program Impaction Policy before the campus submits such requests to the Chancellor's Office for preliminary approval;
 - b. notice to the campus community about the schedule of public hearings required under AB 2402.
 - c. opportunity for the Senate to review comments from public hearings and to make a recommendation on the final proposal prior to the campus's submission of its final request the Chancellor's Office for approval.
2. The Faculty Senate has had the opportunity to examine the use of "pre-majors" for both non-impacted and impacted programs in relation to their impact on advising, timely declaration of major, retention and graduation rates and time to degree. (note: this study may be assigned to the Senate's new Student Retention and Graduation Subcommittee).
3. The Faculty Senate has had the opportunity to study the impact of the programs that have been declared impacted over the past two years, particularly in terms of their impact on other degree programs (i.e., the impact on flow of majors), on pre-requisite courses offered by departments other than the impacted program, and on enrollment demographics in the impacted major. (again, this study may be assigned to the Senate's new Student Retention and Graduation Committee).