Curriculum Policies Committee 2015-2016 1:30 – 2:50 PM Sacramento Hall 161

Minutes for Tuesday, December 1, 2015 Approved: February 16, 2016

Attending: Amata, Baldus, Biagetti, Burke, Chalmers, Croisdale, Fell (Chair), Gibbs, Ingram, Liam, Wall Parilo, Keck, Meyer.

Visitor: Beatrice Russell 1. Information Items

- a. Faculty Senate and Senate Executive Committee Update

 Haven't met since CPC meeting. Internship 2nd reading; office hours 2nd reading, 1st

 reading: change to APC rules, repeat policy; program proposals.
- b. Report from Graduate Dean Chevelle Newsome. *None*.
- c. Report from Dean for Undergraduate Studies Sheree Meyer. None.
- d. Report from subcommittee liaisons
 - Curriculum Subcommittee Some with the Form A being filled out.
 - Council on Preparation of School Personnel Subcommittee (CPSP). *Major revision and many mistakes made.*
 - Academic Program Review Oversight Subcommittee (APROC). *Not working well. The Subcommittee is discussing changes.*
- 2. Approval of minutes from November 20
- 3. Discussion Items
 - a. Old business
 - Supplemental course policy
 - b. New business
 - Resolution for Assembly Bill 798 (College Textbook Affordability Act) Beatrice gave presentation about the bill.

Encouraged faculty to adopt open source or cheaper material. Why do we need a resolution? And how do we adopt it? Allows for us to tap into money once adopted by senate. Critical that it isn't binding. We can support and emphasize it is voluntary. A plan needs to be created to submit to Chancellor's Office. Faculty would need to write proposals. Senate would create group for developing the plan with a budget. The plan needs to be resubmitted to the Senate. What about quality control? CSU already thought about it and vetted and created a list of approved material. Campuses can

create their own policy. Faculty already have the right to choose material. A workgroup should have some tasks outlined. Develop specific plan, budget, and deliverables. Whatever is included in the resolution? Plan has elements that refers to the plan in the act. Learning collaborative is doing something and the money won't stretch very far. Everything has to be done by June 30th. Only one other campus seems to have passed a resolution for this. Chair Fell's resolution was edited. Course redesign can affect success rates. Allows us to utilize technology for students benefit. Student representatives should be included.

Motion to endorse. It was seconded. Unanimously approved.

- Faculty Senate By-Law changes for new assessment committee
- Course approval policy revisions
- 4. 2015-2016 meeting dates

Sept 15; Oct 6, 20; Nov 3, 17; Dec 1 Feb 2, 16; Mar 1, 15; Apr 5, 19; May 3