2013-2014 FACULTY SENATE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Tuesday, September 17, 2013
1:00 - 3:00 pm, Sacramento Hall, Room 275
MINUTES

Approved: September 24, 2013

Attendees: Banus, Biagetti, Dym, Gossett, Hecsh, Holl, Ingram, Kivel, Krabacher, Migliaccio, Peigahi,

Sheppard, Strasser

Absent:

Guests:

Hamilton, Miller, Porter

Adam Rechs, Biological Science; Scott Farrand, Mathematics/Statistics; Sheree Meyer, Associate

Dean, Academic Affairs; AVP Bayard and Raymond Pena, ATCS, and Helen Norris, IRT

1. **Approval of the Agenda:** The agenda was approved.

2. Executive Committee Minutes – September 10, 2013 Approved

3. From the Chair

<u>President's Request for Faculty to consult on drafting a Grade Appeal Policy:</u> Per the President's request, the Chair of APC will identify several committee members and the Grade Appeal Manager will be asked to provide names of several faculty who have served on panels for the President's selection. Exec will review the lists at the next meeting and develop a list of faculty to be forwarded to the President for consideration; with the suggestion that of the faculty appointed, one faculty should have served on a Grade Appeal Panel in the past.

There was some discussion of the potential time commitment and it was suggested that release time for faculty serving on the committee be considered. Per the process: proposed revisions are reviewed by the various Senate committees, then by the Senate before being reviewed by the President.

RTP Resolution Update: Exec discussed the President's correspondence regarding RTP (Attachment: EC-25) and the resolution language for formally receiving/responding to the memo at the Sept. 19 meeting. Debate centered around the degree to which the resolution should explicitly refer to the primacy of the departments and/or the "no outside criteria" language. At the time of adjournment, the Chair was to write a resolution that generally said: The Faculty Senate receives the correspondence of September 16th, from President Gonzalez to Senate Chair Hecsh, (http://www.csus.edu/acse/standing-committee/Executive2013-2014/091713Agendas-Minutes/13-14EC-25.pdf) as follows and acknowledges the President's reaffirmation of all existing UARTP policy including those sections that were referenced in FS13/14-21/FL (September 5, 2013), specifically UARTP Sections 2, 5.05B, and 9.01N. The Chair was to distribute that draft to the committee and interested parties via email for feedback prior to the introduction of the resolution at the Senate meeting.

- 1 2 4. **From the Provost**: Exec received the <u>Provost's memo (Sept. 16, 2013)</u> requesting Senate review by Nov 20, 2013 of the interim policies for:
 - Sacramento State Degree Revocation Policy and Procedures
 - Sacramento State Policy and Procedures on Conferring Degrees on Students Not Applying for Graduation Who Have Completed Degree Requirements

The process for Senate review was discussed. The Parliamentarian confirmed that interim policies may be put in place by the Administration should situations arise that would need to be addressed. The interim policies will still receive Senate review. Exec will review the policies at the Sept 24 meeting and discuss next steps.

11 5. "A New Process for Paper Course Evaluations": AVP Ba

5. "A New Process for Paper Course Evaluations": AVP Bayard presented information on the pilot project. He will make a presentation to the Senate at the Sept. 19 meeting.

6. President's response to Timely Declaration of Major (FS 12/13-127) and the Undergraduate Academic Advising Policy (FS 12/13-126): Exec discussed the proposed policy revisions and process for review. The Chairs of APC and CPC were asked to share the documents with their Committees and to submit a report to the Senate Chair before the next Exec meeting.

7. **Status of President's Response(s) to Senate Actions 2012-2013**: The draft report was reviewed and will be updated for the next meeting.

8. **IPP Policy and Program Review – Proposed Motion to Postpone:** Buchanan presented two versions of a motion that the Self-Study would require submission of all data be requested for future possible IPP processes, in order to eliminate duplication of effort. After discussion in which the Executive Committee declined to endorse the resolutions, the committee encouraged Buchanan to bring either or both of his motions to the Senate at the time of Second Reading. In that case, the proposed motion(s) will be offered from the floor when FS 13/14-26/CPC/EX is at Second Reading.

Adjourned.