



**GENERAL EDUCATION/GRADUATION REQUIREMENTS POLICIES COMMITTEE
MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF [4/17/2017]**

Approved: [5/1/2017]

1. CALL TO ORDER:

The Chair called the meeting to order 3:07 pm.

2. ROLL CALL:

Roll was taken.

Voting Members: Angela Clark-Oates, Andrew Hertzoff, Sue Holl, Frank Lilly, Reza Peigahi (Chair), Chris Taylor

Non-Voting/Ex-Officio Members: Nicole Baptista (USA Representative), Chevelle Newsome (Academic Affairs),

Guests: Jeffrey Wilson (History), Christina Bellon (A&L), Dianne Hyson (SSIS), Celina

3. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA: Approved

4. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF 4/3//17: Approved

5. OPEN FORUM:

6. ANNOUNCEMENTS: NA

7. CHAIRS REPORT: NA

8. DISCUSSION – DRAFT LEARNING OUTCOMES FOR GRAD. REQUIREMENTS IN UNITED STATES HISTORY, CONSTITUTION, AND AMERICAN IDEALS:

The Committee discussed the draft learning outcomes for the graduation requirement. Hyson and Bellon described the process used for gaining consensus on the draft outcomes. It was noted the process is made difficult because there are Title 5, system-wide, and campus wide requirements that must be adhered to. The outcomes include a preamble, which was viewed as a crucial contextual element of the outcomes work. The group advised the Committee on strategies for improving the practice of developing learning outcomes in the future – including looking at what CSUs have as LOs, thinking about institutional level aspirations, explicit ties to the BALGs, clear framing of extant Executive Orders.

The Committee moved, seconded, and passed the motion to move the Learning Outcomes for the **GRADUATION. REQUIREMENTS IN UNITED STATES HISTORY, CONSTITUTION, AND AMERICAN IDEALS** forward to the Executive Committee for scheduling in AY 17/18.



SACRAMENTO STATE

Faculty Senate

9. TASKFORCE ON MATH REMEDIATION

The Committee Moved, Seconded, and passed via Acclimation the motion to have Reza Peigahi serve on the Sacramento State Taskforce on Math Remediation.

Concerns were expressed that the proliferation of taskforces may circumvent shared governance as no tangible mechanisms for report-back to committees are built into them. Further concerns included the sheer number of taskforces, the possibility that the taskforces are doing the work of extant standing committees, and other.

10. WASC VISIT RECAP

Peigahi reported on his perceptions of the recent WASC campus visit. Other members of the committee shared their perceptions of the various WASC meetings.

11. NEXT MEETING: May 1st.

12. ADJOURNMENT: 4:15 PM.